PREA Facility Audit Report: Final

Name of Facility: Lake Region Correctional Facility

Facility Type: Prison / Jail

Date Interim Report Submitted: NA

Date Final Report Submitted: 07/20/2020

Auditor Certification		
The contents of this report are accurate to the best of my knowledge.		
No conflict of interest exists with respect to my ability to conduct an audit of the agency under review.		~
I have not included in the final report any personally identifiable information (PII) about any inmate/resident/detainee or staff member, except where the names of administrative personnel are specifically requested in the report template.		~
Auditor Full Name as Signed: Candace L. Snyder Date of Signature: 07/2		0/2020

AUDITOR INFORMAT	AUDITOR INFORMATION	
Auditor name:	Snyder, Candy	
Address:		
Email:	Snyder@gwtc.net	
Telephone number:		
Start Date of On-Site Audit:	06/04/2020	
End Date of On-Site Audit:	06/05/2020	

FACILITY INFORMATION		
Facility name:	Lake Region Correctional Facility	
Facility physical address:	222 Walnut Street West, Devils Lake, North Dakota - 58301	
Facility Phone		
Facility mailing address:		

Primary Contact	
Name:	deidra Brokke
Email Address:	drb@lrlec.org
Telephone Number:	701-662-0700

Warden/Jail Administrator/Sheriff/Director	
Name:	Rob Johnson
Email Address:	rgj@lrlec.org
Telephone Number:	701-662-0700

Facility PREA Compliance Manager	
Name:	
Email Address:	
Telephone Number:	
Name:	Dan Kraft
Email Address:	dak@lrlec.org
Telephone Number:	O: (701) 662-0700

Facility Health Service Administrator On-site	
Name:	Tammie Roed
Email Address:	troed@lrlec.org
Telephone Number:	701-662-0700

Facility Characteristics		
Designed facility capacity:	104	
Current population of facility:	52	
Average daily population for the past 12 months:	611	
Has the facility been over capacity at any point in the past 12 months?	No	
Which population(s) does the facility hold?		
Age range of population:	18+	
Facility security levels/inmate custody levels:	min.med,max	
Does the facility hold youthful inmates?	No	
Number of staff currently employed at the facility who may have contact with inmates:	19	
Number of individual contractors who have contact with inmates, currently authorized to enter the facility:	0	
Number of volunteers who have contact with inmates, currently authorized to enter the facility:	1	

AGENCY INFORMATION		
Name of agency:	Lake Region Law Enforcement Center	
Governing authority or parent agency (if applicable):		
Physical Address:	222 Walnut Street W , Devils Lake, North Dakota - 58301	
Mailing Address:		
Telephone number:		

Agency Chief Executive Officer Information:	
Name:	
Email Address:	
Telephone Number:	

Agency-Wide PREA Coordinator Information			
Name:	Deidra Brokke	Email Address:	drb@lrlec.org

AUDIT FINDINGS

Narrative:

The auditor's description of the audit methodology should include a detailed description of the following processes during the pre-audit, on-site audit, and post-audit phases: documents and files reviewed, discussions and types of interviews conducted, number of days spent on-site, observations made during the site-review, and a detailed description of any follow-up work conducted during the post-audit phase. The narrative should describe the techniques the auditor used to sample documentation and select interviewees, and the auditor's process for the site review.

The audit of the Lake Region Correctional Facility (LRCF) was conducted on June 4 and 5, 2020 by Candy Snyder, a certified PREA Auditor and Mark Snyder, an auditing assistant. The LRCF is a 105-bed facility that houses both male and female incarcerated adults. At the start of the audit the population was 80 inmates – 69 males and 11 females. The facility maintains active contractual agreements with the Federal Bureau of Prisons, the US Marshall's and the North Dakota Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. Those agencies also inspect the LRCF on a continuous basis. The LRCF is one of six justice organizations operating under the shared resources of the Lake Region Law Enforcement Center (LRLEC). In addition to the LRCF, the same building houses the 911 dispatch center, the Lake Region Community Service offices, the Ramsey County Sheriff's office, and the Devils Lake Police Department. Another building within close proximity houses the Lake Region Residential Reentry Centre (RRC).

Prior to arrival at the LRCF the auditor reviewed pertinent policies, procedures, and related documentation used to demonstrate compliance with PREA standards. The on-site portion of the audit began with an entrance briefing with the purpose of organizing the audit schedule. The meeting was attended by Rob Johnson, the Director, Captain Dan Kraft, PREA Coordinator Deidra Brokke and Residential Reentry Center Operations Manager, Joe Saul. Captain Kraft provided the auditor with a roster of staff and a roster of inmates.

The Director and the PREA Coordinator led a tour of the LRCF. The areas toured were a total of 17 housing units to include both male and female units, administrative areas and any inmate work areas such as the laundry and kitchen. During the tour the auditor informally questioned staff to gain better understanding of the facilities standard operating procedures.

The interview portion of the audit then began. The auditor interviewed the Director, Rob Johnson, Captain Kraft who is the senior administrator of the jail, the PREA Coordinator, the nurse, and the outside criminal investigator from the Devils Lake Police Department. The LRCF has sixteen security staff. There are three security staff on each shift. The audit team interviewed all staff on shift for the two days we were present for a total of ten staff interviews. These staff have been with the LRCF from several months to several years. The audit team interviewed sixteen randomly selected inmates from a roster with specific characteristics in mind to include all housing areas and varying lengths of stay. There were no inmates who identified as lesbian, gay or bisexual; no inmates that identified as transgender or intersex; there were no inmates who needed translation services or other disability related services; and there were no inmates who had been involved in a facility investigation. There were no inmates who requested to speak with the auditor.

Finally, the auditor completed a review of all pertinent policies, records, and documents. The auditor randomly selected personnel files for review of criminal records checks, applications and reference checks with previous institutional employers. The auditor reviewed signed staff training records, inmate records acknowledging receipt of PREA training, and investigative files. There were eighteen PREA allegations reported during 2019. Of these, there was one unsubstantiated sexual assault allegation and

seven sexual harassment allegations that were investigated.

The Lake Region Law Enforcement Center policy closely mirrors the written language of the Prison Rape Elimination Act standards. The audit team held an exit meeting with the Director, Rob Johnson; Captain Kraft, LRCF Supervisor, PREA Coordinator Deidra Brokke; and RCC Operations Manager, Joe Saul.

AUDIT FINDINGS

Facility Characteristics:

The auditor's description of the audited facility should include details about the facility type, demographics and size of the inmate or resident population, numbers and type of staff positions, configuration and layout of the facility, numbers of housing units, description of housing units including any special housing units, a description of programs and services, including food service and recreation. The auditor should describe how these details are relevant to PREA implementation and compliance.

The LRCF was constructed in 1973 and has 17 units consisting of 4 female units (F1, F2, F3 and F4); 2 male segregation units, 3 male general population units (South Unit, North Unit, West Unit); 3 male medium security units (MS1, MS2, MS3); 1 male maximum security unit (Max); and 4 receiving and holding cells. There are two inmate work areas -- the kitchen and the laundry. Administrative areas include one senior staff office, the PREA Coordinator's office/training classroom, the nurse's office, the booking area, the visit area and both the indoor and outdoor recreation areas.

The Lake Region Correctional Facility's purpose is to provide appropriate supervision of persons incarcerated in the correctional facility and to meet their basic human needs. The facility is operated under a joint powers agreement between four counties and overseen by a Board of Directors. Inmates are sent to the facility from the Bureau of Prisons, the U.S. Marshall's, the North Dakota Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation, the four counties of Ramsey, Benson, Eddy, Nelson and the city of Devils Lake.

At the LRCF the inmates are housed based upon needs and their respective level of classification. The staff structure includes one Captain, one Lieutenant, four Sergeants and 12 Full-time Corrections Officers. The LRCF houses minimum, medium and maximum-security inmates, serving federal, state, county and city sentences and holds.

AUDIT FINDINGS

Summary of Audit Findings:

The OAS will automatically calculate the number of standards exceeded, number of standards met, and the number of standards not met based on the auditor's compliance determinations. If relevant, the auditor should provide the list of standards exceeded and/or the list of standards not met (e.g. Standards Exceeded: 115.xx, 115.xx..., Standards Not Met: 115.yy, 115.yy). Auditor Note: In general, no standards should be found to be "Not Applicable" or "NA." A compliance determination must be made for each standard. In rare instances where an auditor determines that a standard is not applicable, the auditor should select "Meets Standard" and include a comprehensive discussion as to why the standard is not applicable to the facility being audited.

Number of standards exceeded:	1
Number of standards met:	44
Number of standards not met:	0

The facility has used a team approach to work on implementation of PREA compliance measures over the past several years. The push has been led by the Director and the PREA Coordinator. The facility has a PREA policy modeled directly from the PREA standards. Most importantly, and the audit team witnessed vast improvements both in the physical characteristics of the facility and the professional atmosphere/culture felt since the audit team conducted this facility's audit in 2014.

The inmates report they feel safe. All inmates reported at least two methods of reporting. The facility had posters placed throughout the facility. The inmates stated they had a handbook provided upon intake to refer to throughout their stay. Staff were familiar with how to perform their responsibilities in prevention, detecting and responding to incidents of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Staff were able to relay to the auditor signs to watch for in inmates who may have experienced sexual abuse or harassment. The staff assigned to monitor for retaliation is aware of the duties necessary to detect and monitor for retaliation. Specialized staff were knowledgeable in their roles and had received specialized training in their areas of expertise.

The auditor determined that both the facilities of the Lake Region Law Enforcement Center, the corrections facility and the reentry center, were exceptional in the corrective action they took regarding the coordinated response. The Lake Region Family Violence Prevention/Sexual Assault Response Team's coordinated response plan is exactly the type of work this standard is looking for – the community working together to address all forms of sexual assault, even if occurring within a correctional environment.

Through the pre-audit and on-site audit processes, the auditor determined that a few minor issues required corrective actions in which the facility took immediate action on. These items were corrected prior to the auditor finishing the interim report. Therefore, a final report was issued.

SUMMARY OF CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

Standard 115.13 Supervision and monitoring.

CORRECTIVE ACTIONS: To meet this standard, the auditor required the LRCF prepare an annual staffing plan and provide it to the auditor.

On July 14, 2020, the LRLEC provided the auditor a comprehensive staffing plan for the correctional facility and the reentry center that meets the requirements of this standard.

Standard 115.15 Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches

CORRECTIVE ACTIONS: To meet this standard, the auditor required one camera for housing area Max2 that needs the black box reinstituted to block the view of the toilet. This was corrected immediately and the PREA Coordinator provided the auditor with a photograph verifying the correction on June 10, 2020.

Standard 115.17 Hiring and promotion decisions

CORRECTIVE ACTIONS: To comply with this standard the auditor required the LRCF to include the three questions regarding disclosure of previous sexual misconduct and a statement acknowledging they have a continuing duty to disclose sexual misconduct during the annual review process. The auditor recommends having all current staff sign a new form and then begin anew in 2021 with a form completed during their annual evaluation. Also, the auditor required documentation of all current employees who worked for a prior institutional employer regarding any substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse.

On July 9, 2020, the LRCF provided the completed, signed and dated form for all current employees. They will continue to use this form to ask all applicants regarding previous sexual misconduct and their understanding of their continuing duty to report. This form will now also be used each year during the employee's annual evaluation.

On July 16, 2020, the facility provided the auditor the form "Sexual Misconduct Questionnaire for Previous Institutional Employers". This is the form they have incorporated into their hiring process.

Standard 115.33 Inmate education

CORRECTIVE ACTIONS: The auditor required that the facility institute documentation that better identifies the basic PREA information provided during intake. The facility immediately remedied this by adding a statement on the handbook signature form. This new form was provided to the auditor on June 25, 2020. The auditor recommended that the facility more clearly state that they Lake Region Correctional Facility has a zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and provide the methods of reporting incidents or suspicions of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. Those are the two most important things the standards require the inmate to know on day one. The modification they originally made stated that they have the right to be free from sexual abuse, sexual harassment or retaliation for reporting, and the specific pages they can find the information on how to report. They sign that they have received the handbook and staff have provided this information to them. The auditor recommends that they devise a method to ensure the inmates watch the video rather than signing a refusal form.

On July 9, 2020, the PREA Coordinator provided a form that better documents the basic information provided to the inmate at intake.

Standard 115.65 Coordinated responses

CORRECTIVE ACTIONS: To become compliant the auditor required the LRCF be more specific in their coordinated response plan.

On July 16, 2020, the LRCF provided the auditor with the Lake Region Family Violence Prevention/Sexual Assault Response Team's coordinated response plan. On page 7 it specifically lists how the team works with the administration of the law enforcement center. This plan is exceptionally written and beginning on page 28 specifically outlines the coordinate response as it relates to the Lake Region Law Enforcement Center's two programs and the Prison Rape Elimination Act. The guiding principles of the team is that services for victims of sexual assault ages 14 and older shall be provided in a coordinated multidisciplinary approach which keeps the welfare of the victim at the forefront of the community's response.

Standard 115.81 Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse.

CORRECTIVE ACTIONS: To meet this standard, the auditor required that medical staff convey to the auditor how they will document informed consent from inmates before reporting information about prior sexual victimization that did not occur within an institutional setting.

On July 16, 2020, the LRCF provided the auditor with the Lake Region Family Violence Prevention/Sexual Assault Response Team's coordinated response plan. This plan contains an informed consent form that the LRCF has adopted into their procedures.

Standard 115.88 Data review for corrective action

CORRECTIVE ACTIONS: To meet this standard the auditor required a revised 2019 report that includes a comparison of the 2019 data and corrective actions with those from prior years. The report must also provide an assessment of the progress in addressing sexual abuse.

On July 17, 2020, the facility provided a revised 2019 annual report that included a comparison of the data to prior years and an assessment of their progress in addressing sexual abuse. They will repost the 2019 Annual Report to the LRCF's website.

Standards

Auditor Overall Determination Definitions

- Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the stand for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective actions)

Auditor Discussion Instructions

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

115.11	Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The LRLEC has a very thorough policy, PREA Policy 606. The policy follows the guidelines of the PREA standards and they have appointed a PREA Coordinator who has been working to address every standard. She has the authority to develop and oversee the efforts of the facility to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The PREA Coordinator has been committed to complying with all PREA standards in the jail. The Captain assists with PREA compliance efforts within the LRCF.
	The policy states the facility's zero-tolerance for sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The policy outlines the facility's efforts in preventing, detecting and responding to sexual abuse and harassment. The policy includes all necessary and related defined terms.

115.12	Contracting with other entities for the confinement of inmates
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The LRCF does not contract with other facilities for the confinement of its inmates.

115.13 Supervision and monitoring Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard **Auditor Discussion** The auditor reviewed the LRCF PREA policy, the organization chart, verified the staffing levels through the schedule that was provided and verified through direct observation while on the facility tour. The LRCF has strong leadership, a good training program, and a positive culture. There are documented, unannounced rounds conducted by intermediate and higher-level supervisors. The agency has a PREA policy that prohibits staff from alerting other staff members that these supervisory rounds are occurring, unless such announcement is related to the legitimate operational functions of the facility. There are multiple cameras throughout the facility that are monitored by dispatch and in the booking area. At the time of the on-site portion of the audit there was no Annual Staffing Plan. LRCF has one Captain, one Lieutenant, one Senior Sergeant, three Sergeants and 14 Corrections Officers. There are always, at a minimum, three direct care staff on duty 24 hours each day. CORRECTIVE ACTIONS: To meet this standard, the auditor required the LRCF prepare an annual staffing plan and provide it to the auditor.

On July 14, 2020, the LRLEC provided the auditor a comprehensive staffing plan for the correctional facility and the reentry center that meets the requirements of this standard.

115.14	Youthful inmates
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	This LRCF does not house inmates less than 18 years of age.

115.15 Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

The LRCF prohibits male staff from conducting cross gender searches of female inmates. Female inmates' access to regularly available programming or other out-of-cell opportunities is not restricted. All interviews with both inmates and staff confirmed the practice. The policy prohibits examination for the sole purpose of determining gender and staff are knowledgeable of correct search procedures as confirmed through interviews. Staff are adequately trained in searches of lesbian, gay and bi-sexual inmates, and such training is required by their policy.

Unclothed searches upon intake are completed one-on-one between staff and inmate in a private restroom in the booking area that is off camera. This is not a good practice. When this was brought to the attention of the LRCF administration they immediately corrected and on 5/18/20 they provided the auditor their notice to staff that moved the search area from the restroom to the shower area where the inmate can remain privately off-camera and the staff can stand a couple of feet away but on camera.

Inmates shower in private shower stalls with shower curtains to provide privacy and to prevent observation by staff of the opposite gender or casual observers. Some sleeping rooms have toilets within the room and the toilet area is blocked out by a digitally placed black box. There was one camera for housing area Max2 that need the black box reinstituted.

Based on agency staff and inmate interviews, staff members of the opposing gender announce their presence when entering units where the probability exists that the inmate may be changing clothes. The auditor witnessed the announcement and it appeared to be a standard practice.

CORRECTIVE ACTIONS: To meet this standard, the auditor required the black box on one camera for housing area Max2 be reinstituted to block the view of the toilet. This was corrected immediately and the PREA Coordinator provided the auditor with a photograph verifying the correction on June 10, 2020.

Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard Auditor Discussion The LRCF will provide PREA information to all inmates with disabilities including inmates who are deaf or hard of hearing, those who are blind or have low vision, or those who have intellectual, psychiatric, or speech disabilities so that they have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of jail's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual have access to use the interpretive service for all communications other than day-to-day routine communication. There were no non-English speaking inmates, inmates who were deaf or hard of hearin, blind or needed assistance at the facility during the audit. The jail will provide basic PREA information verbally to inmates who are blind, those who have intellectual, psychiatric, or disabilities. They provide written information to inmates who are deaf or hard of hearing or if required, they will provide an ASL interpreter. The LRCF uses the Language Link for translation services.

115.17 Hiring and promotion decisions

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

The auditor reviewed personnel records and staff hired over the reporting period had the required background checks. They are aware of the policy to run every five years. They are asking recent interviewees the three questions required by the standard regarding any previous misconduct and a continuing duty to report. This was verified through a review of personnel files. However, they do not ask these questions again during the annual review/performance evaluation. In a review of files, the auditor did not find record of a check with all previous institutional employers regarding substantiated allegations of sexual abuse.

CORRECTIVE ACTIONS: To comply with this standard the auditor required the LRCF to include the three questions regarding disclosure of previous sexual misconduct and a statement acknowledging they have a continuing duty to disclose sexual misconduct during the annual review process. The auditor recommends having all current staff sign a new form and then begin anew in 2021 with a form completed during their annual evaluation. Also, the auditor required documentation of all current employees who worked for a prior institutional employer regarding any substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse.

On July 9, 2020, the LRCF provided the completed, signed and dated form for all current employees. They will continue to use this form to ask all applicants regarding previous sexual misconduct and their understanding of their continuing duty to report. This form will now also be used each year during the employee's annual evaluation.

On July 16, 2020, the facility provided the auditor the form "Sexual Misconduct Questionnaire for Previous Institutional Employers". This is the form they have incorporated into their hiring process.

115.18	Upgrades to facilities and technologies
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The administrators consider the ways in which monitoring technology can enhance their efforts and abilities to protect inmates from sexual abuse through electronic monitoring and video monitoring. They have camera systems in all key areas. Additionally, since the last audit they have added a few cameras in key areas to improve security and safety of inmates. The cameras are now monitored in the dispatch area as well instead of by the staff in booking.

115.21	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The PREA Coordinator has been trained through both the NIC PREA investigator training and the North Dakota Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation investigator training on how to conduct administrative investigations. All criminal allegations are turned over to the Devils Lake Police Department for investigation. Victims of sexual abuse have access to forensic medical exams through Safe Alternatives for Abused Families (SAAF) in Devils Lake. SAAF has a forensic exam room at their facilities and employ and provide training for the SANE. The facility has an MOU with SAAF for services. Devils Lake Police Department is contacted to investigate criminal allegations – both sexual abuse and staff sexual misconduct allegations. When they respond, evidence protocol including offering a victim advocate is followed.

115.22	Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The facility refers all allegations for investigation. The LRCF investigations were completed by qualified investigators and required documentation and reporting occurred. The Devils Lake Police Department investigator that has received specialized training conducts criminal investigations. These steps are properly documented in the PREA policy. The entire PREA policy (which includes the investigative policy) is posted on their website. However, it is listed on the link "Prison Rape Elimination Act". The auditor initially was not able to find it because it appeared that this was a link to the Act itself. The auditor recommended that the format of their webpage be changed so that it is easy to locate the investigative policy on their website. The LRCF spoke with their tech support to schedule this change.

115.31	Employee training
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	PREA training with staff was apparent during the interviews as staff were very knowledgeable in the required competencies such as the correct first responder response – separate, provide care, protect evidence, call for assistance, notify shift supervisor and provide a written report. Staff were knowledgeable in how to recognize signs of sexual abuse and how to communicate effectively with LGBTI inmates. Documentation included NIC training "PREA: Your Role Responding to Sexual Abuse", in-house training tilted "The Daily Dozen" which discusses how to avoid inappropriate relationships with inmates, in-house training presented by the PREA Coordinator that explains PREA and the staff's role in prevention and response at LRCF, in-house training on the LRCF Integrity Guidelines which includes the prohibition of talking to inmates in a derogatory or offensive manner, North Dakota Correctional Officer training which includes sections on PREA. Staff had signed attendance rosters and certificates.

115.32	Volunteer and contractor training
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The facility provides PREA training to all volunteers. The auditor viewed and verified documentation of training that has been provided. The auditor spoke with a volunteer who was able to state that facility's zero-tolerance policy and the appropriate actions to take if an inmate reported sexual abuse or harassment.

115.33 Inmate education

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

The inmates receive a handbook on the day of arrival that outlines important PREA information, and this is pointed out to them during intake. The inmate signs that they have received the handbook, but this does not necessarily document that the staff went over the required information with them. The facility immediately remedied this by adding a statement on the handbook signature form. Now the inmate signs a statement that they have the right to be free from sexual abuse, sexual harassment or retaliation for reporting, how to report and sign that they have received the handbook that also has the rules and the reporting information. This information will soon go on the kiosk as well. There are posters posted throughout the facility. The interviews confirmed that inmates were well aware of how to report and were familiar with multiple ways to report. The LRCF has a video to provide a more indepth PREA curriculum for inmates that is presented within 30 days of intake on the kiosk within the housing unit. However, many of the male inmates sign a refusal form stating that they are refusing to watch the video. In speaking with the PREA Coordinator, she states that many of them report having seen the video at other facility's and do not want to watch it again. The auditor recommends working with their vendor for the kiosk system to see if there is a way to require the video be watched as soon as they sign onto the kiosk before going forward.

CORRECTIVE ACTIONS: The auditor required that the facility institute documentation that better identifies the basic PREA information provided during intake. The facility immediately remedied this by adding a statement on the handbook signature form. This new form was provided to the auditor on June 25, 2020. The auditor recommended that the facility more clearly state that they Lake Region Correctional Facility has a zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and provide the methods of reporting incidents or suspicions of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. Those are the two most important things the standards require the inmate to know on day one. The modification they originally made stated that they have the right to be free from sexual abuse, sexual harassment or retaliation for reporting, and the specific pages they can find the information on how to report. They sign that they have received the handbook and staff have provided this information to them. The auditor recommends that they devise a method to ensure the inmates watch the video rather than signing a refusal form.

On July 9, 2020, the PREA Coordinator provided a form that better documents the basic information provided to the inmate at intake.

115.34	Specialized training: Investigations
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	LRLEC staff members who conduct investigations receive training and the curriculum meets the specialized training requirements. There is documentation of when and who received the training. The PREA Coordinator for the LRCF is a trained facility investigator to conduct administrative investigations. This was verified both through a review of documentation and an interview. All criminal allegations are turned over to the Devils Lake Police Department for investigation. The auditor interviewed the criminal investigator and found her experience and skills were superb in addressing criminal sexual abuse investigations for the LRLEC.

115.35	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The nurse for the LRLEC has completed the specialized training through NIC "PREA: Medical Health Care of Sexual Assault Victims in a Confinement Setting" on June 12, 2019 and was knowledgeable during the interview. The LRELC does not provide in-house mental health services. Inmates are referred to a provider at the Lake Region Human Services Center for mental health care. However, the nurse has also completed the NIC course "PREA: Behavioral Health Care for Sexual Assault Victims in a Confinement Setting" on June 11, 2019.

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard Auditor Discussion The auditor based compliance on a review of the PREA policy, the Inmate Classification policy, interviews with staff and inmates, and a review of the LRCC's PREA Screening Forms. The LRCF Inmate Classification Policy 507 requires intake staff to screen all offenders during the booking process but no later than 24 hours after intake into LRCF and before being assigned to a housing unit. The intake officer uses a screening tool which specifically asks all screening indicators as required by the standards such as age, criminal record, and prior identified history of sexual victimization or predation to determine if the offender is at risk of future victimization or sexual abuse, or is at risk of committing sexual abuse. The intake procedure

requires a screening within 24 hours of arriving at LRCF. The screening is conducted for potential mental and physical vulnerabilities which could jeopardize safety and/or sexually aggressive behavior. The PREA Coordinator reviews the inmate's classification status if they have been at the LRCF for 30 days. There was a delay of a few during the COVID 19 crisis,

circumstances. Inmates who are transgender or intersex are reassessed at least twice each

incident of sexual abuse or there is receipt of any other information that increases the inmate's

year. Inmates are also reassessed if referred, requested by a staff member, there is an

but they soon were caught up. She examines changes in the inmate's behavior or

risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness.

115.42	Use of screening information
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	LRCF staff use the screening information to determine placement within their housing unit — ensuring that known aggressors are not placed with potential victims. LRCF staff state all housing assignment are done on a case-by-case basis considering the inmate's own views of safety. All inmates shower separately from other offenders. The LRCF implements appropriate controls on the dissemination of responses to screening questions asked pursuant to this standard to ensure that sensitive information is not exploited to the offender's harm by staff or other offenders. During the interviews staff were knowledgeable of this PREA standard.

115.43	Protective Custody
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	LRCF staff typically find alternative housing units that provide protection for the inmate without segregating or isolating them. If they use protective custody, it is for very limited duration only until they can find appropriate housing. This was confirmed through staff and inmate interviews. They have not used protective custody over the past 12 months for this purpose.

115.51	Inmate reporting
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The agency has established procedures allowing for multiple ways for inmates to report privately to facility staff about sexual abuse or sexual harassment, retaliation by other inmates or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment, and staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents. Staff accepts reports made verbally, in writing through resident request forms, anonymously, and from third parties. The staff promptly documents any verbal reports. Inmates can report allegations to someone outside of the facility by contacting Safe Alternatives for Abused Families (SAAF) in Devils Lake. The auditor spoke with the executive director at SAAF to verify that they will offer victim support services, but will also report back to the LRCF, allowing the inmate to remain anonymous if they wish. All inmates were very aware of how to contact SAAF. Inmates reported feeling comfortable reporting to staff.

115.52	Exhaustion of administrative remedies
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The LRCF formal administrative procedures are in policy 609 – Inmate Grievances. Section 609.6 addresses inmate grievances regarding sexual abuse. Inmates are encouraged to report at any time. There are no set time frames for reporting sexual abuse and an inmate may report at any time, to any person via multiple ways. Inmates are encouraged to report directly to staff members, but that if they do not feel comfortable in doing this they may report to SAAF, the local abuse advocacy center in Devils Lake. An inmate does not have to exhaust one method of reporting before being allowed to employ another method to obtain action.

115.53	Inmate access to outside confidential support services
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Inmates have access to outside confidential support services. The facility has an MOU agreement with the Safe Alternatives for Abused Families (SAAF) in Devils Lake for advocacy services. The nurse stated she would make recommendations for inmates to speak and any follow-up services with the mental health professional at the Lake Region Human Services Center.

115.54	Third-party reporting
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The facility has third-party reporting information available on their website. However, it is under a link titled "Zero Tolerance Policy" and the auditor found it difficult to locate. The auditor suggested relabeling the link "Zero Tolerance Policy/To Make a Report" so that it is easier to locate the third-party reporting information. The LRCF spoke with their tech support to schedule this change.

115.61	Staff and agency reporting duties
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The facility requires all staff to immediately report any knowledge, suspicion or information received related to sexual abuse/harassment incidents, retaliation and staff negligence that may have contributed to such incidents. Staff are required to make such reports to the staff supervisor and a report is submitted to the investigator. Random staff interviews confirmed their responsibility to report and to maintain that information in confidence. The PREA policy requires that outside investigators be informed when there is suspected criminal activity. It was evident policy was followed through the investigative reports as well.

115.62	Agency protection duties
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The facility requires all staff to take immediate action to protect the inmate from imminent sexual abuse. The facility has had no reported incidents over the past reporting period in which an inmate was subject to risk of imminent sexual abuse. Interviews confirmed compliance with expected practices. Administrators and direct care staff understood and agreed that "immediate" means when the response is needed – that could mean within minutes if the abuse was recent or it could mean before the end of the shift for incidents in which an abuse was reported that occurred in the distant past.

115.63	Reporting to other confinement facilities
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Interviews with the senior-level staff confirm actions that are taken upon receiving an allegation of sexual abuse while an inmate was at another facility. Such action is initiated no later than 72 hours and action is documented. These steps were also noted in the review of policies and procedures. There was one incident reported during this past reporting period from another facility and one incident reported to another facility. Both incidents were properly documented.

115.64	Staff first responder duties
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Interviews with staff verified their process to provide assistance, separate alleged victim/abuser, preservation and protection of evidence by securing the scene including the request of the victim not to take any actions that could destroy any physical evidence. There was one incident of reported abuse over the reporting period and correctional officers responded appropriately. The incident was investigated and was unfounded.

115.65	Coordinated response
	Auditor Overall Determination: Exceeds Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The LRCF has coordinated actions to be taken when an incident occurs that are outlined within their PREA policy. However, the auditor recommends that either a separate coordinated response plan or more in-depth information in policy be added that specifies the name of the hospital, the advocacy organization and the law enforcement agency who investigates.
	CORRECTIVE ACTIONS: To become compliant the auditor required the LRCF be more specific in their coordinated response plan.
	On July 16, 2020, the LRCF provided the auditor with the Lake Region Family Violence Prevention/Sexual Assault Response Team's coordinated response plan. On page 7 it specifically lists how the team works with the administration of the law enforcement center. This plan is exceptionally written and beginning on page 28 specifically outlines the coordinate response as it relates to the Lake Region Law Enforcement Center's two programs and the Prison Rape Elimination Act. The guiding principles of the team is that services for victims of sexual assault ages 14 and older shall be provided in a coordinated multidisciplinary approach which keeps the welfare of the victim at the forefront of the community's response.

115.66	Preservation of ability to protect inmates from contact with abusers
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The administrators will remove alleged staff sexual abusers from contact with inmates pending the outcome of the investigation. The facility does not employ represented (union) employees. The facility is not restricted in any way from protecting inmates from contact with abusers.

115.67	Agency protection against retaliation
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The PREA Coordinator is charged with monitoring for retaliation. She is assisted by the Captain who has direct knowledge of any disciplinary issues. Should any person who cooperates with a sexual misconduct investigation express fear of retaliation; appropriate protective measures will be taken. Retaliation monitoring will be discontinued should the allegation be unfounded. Measures include housing changes, removing contact of alleged staff/inmate abusers and emotional support services for those who fear retaliation. Interviews with the PREA Coordinator confirmed her duties and responsibilities. These steps are properly documented in the PREA policy. The PREA Coordinator documents monitoring and follow-up with inmates when they check-in to ensure that there is no retaliation.

115.68	Post-allegation protective custody
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	LRCF staff typically finds alternative housing units that provide protection for the inmate without segregating or isolating them. If they use protective custody, it is for very limited duration only until they can find appropriate housing.

115.71 Criminal and administrative agency investigations Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard **Auditor Discussion** The auditor reviewed the documented investigations at the LRCF and determined all standards are being properly followed for both administrative and criminal investigations. A documented outcome must be reported back to the inmate. Through interviews with the investigators it was determined that investigations are not terminated should the source of the allegation recant. Should criminal prosecution be considered, the investigator coordinates with the prosecutor. Polygraph tests are not used during their investigations. Administrative investigations will include efforts to determine whether staff actions/failures contributed to the abuse documented through written reports, which will include physical/testimonial evidence, credibility assessments and investigative facts and findings. All written reports will be retained for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the facility plus five years. Investigations will not be terminated due to the departure of an alleged abuser or victim. These steps are properly documented in the PREA policy.

115.72	Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The facility uses no standard higher than a preponderance of evidence in making a determination of alleged sexual abuse/harassment. This was confirmed through the interviews with the investigator and a review of investigative files.

115.73	Reporting to inmates
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	There was only one case that required the inmate to be notified and the inmate released prior to the end of the investigation. The auditor reviewed the notice documentation for this case. If they were unable to notify an inmate, it was because of release from the facility prior to the conclusion of the investigation and they documented this as well.

115.76	Disciplinary sanctions for staff
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The auditor interviewed staff and the investigator and reviewed PREA policy. Staff are subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies. Termination is the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who have engaged in sexual abuse. Disciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policies relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) are commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories. All terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, are reported to law enforcement, unless the activity was clearly not criminal, and to the North Dakota Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. The cases reviewed that occurred both at the LRCF and the Residential Reentry Center confirm that staff disciplinary sanctions were appropriate.

115.77	Corrective action for contractors and volunteers
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Contractors and volunteers are subject to disciplinary actions including termination for violation of facility sexual abuse/harassment policies. According to the Director, should any violation of this type be substantiated, the facility has complete authority to administer remedial measures including prohibiting further contact with inmates. They also verified that if disciplinary measures are required, it could also include a report to the Devils Lake Police Department.

115.78	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The facility prohibits disciplinary action for a report of sexual abuse made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred. The LRCF would work with the Lake Region Human Services Center or the referring agency (BOP, NDDOCR) to provide counseling, or other interventions designed to address and correct the underlying reasons or motivations for abuse. If findings of inmate-on inmate sexual abuse, administrative sanctions will be administered following the formal disciplinary processes and applied commensurate with the level of infractions.

115.81	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The auditor interviewed staff and reviewed policy. If inmates disclosed victimization on their screening, staff would ensure the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with medical. Medical staff were not aware of the requirement to obtain a written informed consent form before reporting information about prior sexual victimization that did not occur within an institutional setting.
	CORRECTIVE ACTIONS: To meet this standard, the auditor required that medical staff convey to the auditor how they will document informed consent from inmates before reporting information about prior sexual victimization that did not occur within an institutional setting.
	On July 16, 2020, the LRCF provided the auditor with the Lake Region Family Violence Prevention/Sexual Assault Response Team's coordinated response plan. This plan contains an informed consent form that the LRCF has adopted into their procedures.

115.82	Access to emergency medical and mental health services
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Emergency medical response was not needed during the review period. The PREA policy documents PREA requirements for access to emergency medical and mental health services. Emergency services would be provided by Mercy Hospital. The LRCF would coordinate with the Lake Region Human Services Center or the referring agency (BOP, NDDOCR) to provide mental health services. Emergency medical and mental health services are provided without charge to inmates.

115.83	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers				
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard				
	Auditor Discussion				
	The PREA policy requires on-going treatment be provided. A review of facility practices strongly indicates that on-going treatment, and the timeliness of responses are consistent to the level of community care. Offender victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated are offered tests for sexually transmitted infections as medically appropriate. Treatment services are provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident.				

115.86	Sexual abuse incident reviews
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The auditor interviewed staff and reviewed investigative files. There was only one incident that required a review per the standard. The incident was reviewed and documented through a narrative report. This incident did not necessitate the answering of the questions regarding motivation. They did document that they discussed monitoring technology. The auditor recommends that rather than a free-flow narrative report they use some type of incident review form so that all questions are asked during the review process – change in policy or practice, motivation, physical barriers, staffing levels and monitoring technology.

115.87	Data collection
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The facility collects uniform data for all allegations of sexual abuse based on incident reports and investigation files and participates in the Survey of Sexual Violence. The auditor interviewed the Director and the PREA Coordinator and reviewed the PREA policy, the investigative files and reviewed the aggregate data and annual reports. LRCF maintains, reviews, and collects data as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews. The LRCF does not contract for the confinement of its inmates.

115.88	Data review for corrective action
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The auditor interviewed the Executive Director and the PREA Coordinator, reviewed the PREA policy, investigative files and the aggregate data and annual reports on the LRCF's website for 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018 and 2019. The annual report with the aggregate data should include a comparison of the current year's data and corrective actions with those from prior years and provide an assessment of the progress in addressing sexual abuse.
	CORRECTIVE ACTIONS: To meet this standard the auditor required a revised 2019 report that includes a comparison of the 2019 data and corrective actions with those from prior years. The report must also provide an assessment of the progress in addressing sexual abuse.
	On July 17, 2020, the facility provided a revised 2019 annual report that included a comparison of the data to prior years and an assessment of their progress in addressing sexual abuse. They will repost the 2019 Annual Report to the LRCF's website.

115.89	Data storage, publication, and destruction
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Data collected is retained via limited access and beginning 2014, it is retained for at least ten (10) years as outlined in the policy. The aggregate data is posted on their website at http://www.lrlec.org.

115.401	Frequency and scope of audits
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The LRCF has been audited in 2014, 2017 and now again in 2020. The auditor had complete access and observed operations in every area of the LRCF. The auditor requested many documents throughout the audit process and through the 45-day period prior to issuing this final report. The LRCF staff were very cooperative throughout the audit process. The LRCF provided private accommodations to conduct interviews. The auditor notices were posted throughout the facility. The auditor received no correspondence or requests for interviews. The staff at the LRCF were more than accommodating and have an intent and desire to comply with all PREA standards. The auditor noticed very significant improvements since conducting the first audit in 2014.

115.403	Audit contents and findings
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The LRCF has published on their website at http://www.lrlec.org the final audit reports from 2014 and 2017.

Appendix: Provision Findings			
115.11 (a)	Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator		
	Does the agency have a written policy mandating zero tolerance toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes	
	Does the written policy outline the agency's approach to preventing, detecting, and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes	
115.11 (b)	Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA	coordinator	
	Has the agency employed or designated an agency-wide PREA Coordinator?	yes	
	Is the PREA Coordinator position in the upper-level of the agency hierarchy?	yes	
	Does the PREA Coordinator have sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and oversee agency efforts to comply with the PREA standards in all of its facilities?	yes	
115.11 (c)	Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator		
	If this agency operates more than one facility, has each facility designated a PREA compliance manager? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.)	yes	
	Does the PREA compliance manager have sufficient time and authority to coordinate the facility's efforts to comply with the PREA standards? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.)	yes	
115.12 (a)	Contracting with other entities for the confinement of inmates		
	If this agency is public and it contracts for the confinement of its inmates with private agencies or other entities including other government agencies, has the agency included the entity's obligation to comply with the PREA standards in any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of inmates.)	na	
115.12 (b)	Contracting with other entities for the confinement of inmates		
	Does any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012 provide for agency contract monitoring to ensure that the contractor is complying with the PREA standards? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of inmates.)	na	
115.13 (a)	Supervision and monitoring		
	Does the facility have a documented staffing plan that provides for	yes	

adequate levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect inmates against sexual abuse?	
In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Generally accepted detention and correctional practices?	yes
In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any judicial findings of inadequacy?	yes
In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any findings of inadequacy from Federal investigative agencies?	yes
In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any findings of inadequacy from internal or external oversight bodies?	yes
In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: All components of the facility's physical plant (including "blind-spots" or areas where staff or inmates may be isolated)?	yes
In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The composition of the inmate population?	yes
In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The number and placement of supervisory staff?	yes
In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The institution programs occurring on a particular shift?	yes
In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any applicable State or local laws, regulations, or standards?	yes
In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of sexual abuse?	yes
In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any other relevant factors?	yes

115.13 (b)	Supervision and monitoring		
	In circumstances where the staffing plan is not complied with, does the facility document and justify all deviations from the plan? (N/A if no deviations from staffing plan.)	yes	
115.13 (c)	Supervision and monitoring		
	In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The staffing plan established pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section?	no	
	In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The facility's deployment of video monitoring systems and other monitoring technologies?	no	
	In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The resources the facility has available to commit to ensure adherence to the staffing plan?	no	
115.13 (d)	Supervision and monitoring		
	Has the facility/agency implemented a policy and practice of having intermediate-level or higher-level supervisors conduct and document unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes	
	Is this policy and practice implemented for night shifts as well as day shifts?	yes	
	Does the facility/agency have a policy prohibiting staff from alerting other staff members that these supervisory rounds are occurring, unless such announcement is related to the legitimate operational functions of the facility?	yes	
115.14 (a)	Youthful inmates		
	Does the facility place all youthful inmates in housing units that separate them from sight, sound, and physical contact with any adult inmates through use of a shared dayroom or other common space, shower area, or sleeping quarters? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	na	

115.14 (b)	Youthful inmates	
	In areas outside of housing units does the agency maintain sight and sound separation between youthful inmates and adult inmates? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	na
	In areas outside of housing units does the agency provide direct staff supervision when youthful inmates and adult inmates have sight, sound, or physical contact? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	na
115.14 (c)	Youthful inmates	
	Does the agency make its best efforts to avoid placing youthful inmates in isolation to comply with this provision? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	na
	Does the agency, while complying with this provision, allow youthful inmates daily large-muscle exercise and legally required special education services, except in exigent circumstances? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	na
	Do youthful inmates have access to other programs and work opportunities to the extent possible? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	na
115.15 (a)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility always refrain from conducting any cross-gender strip or cross-gender visual body cavity searches, except in exigent circumstances or by medical practitioners?	yes
115.15 (b)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility always refrain from conducting cross-gender pat-down searches of female inmates, except in exigent circumstances? (N/A if the facility does not have female inmates.)	yes
	Does the facility always refrain from restricting female inmates' access to regularly available programming or other out-of-cell opportunities in order to comply with this provision? (N/A if the facility does not have female inmates.)	yes
115.15 (c)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility document all cross-gender strip searches and cross-gender visual body cavity searches?	yes
	Does the facility document all cross-gender pat-down searches of female inmates (N/A if the facility does not have female inmates)?	yes

115.15 (d)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches		
	Does the facility have policies that enables inmates to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks?	yes	
	Does the facility have procedures that enables inmates to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks?	yes	
	Does the facility require staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when entering an inmate housing unit?	yes	
115.15 (e)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches		
	Does the facility always refrain from searching or physically examining transgender or intersex inmates for the sole purpose of determining the inmate's genital status?	yes	
	If an inmate's genital status is unknown, does the facility determine genital status during conversations with the inmate, by reviewing medical records, or, if necessary, by learning that information as part of a broader medical examination conducted in private by a medical practitioner?	yes	
115.15 (f)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches		
	Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct cross- gender pat down searches in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs?	yes	
	Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct searches of transgender and intersex inmates in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs?	yes	
115.16 (a)	Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English p	roficient	
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who are deaf or hard of hearing?	yes	
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual	yes	

abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who are blind or have low vision?	
Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have intellectual disabilities?	yes
Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have psychiatric disabilities?	yes
Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have speech disabilities?	yes
Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Other (if "other," please explain in overall determination notes.)	yes
Do such steps include, when necessary, ensuring effective communication with inmates who are deaf or hard of hearing?	yes
Do such steps include, when necessary, providing access to interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary?	yes
Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Have intellectual disabilities?	yes
Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Have limited reading skills?	yes
Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: are blind or have low vision?	yes

115.16 (b)	Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient	
	Does the agency take reasonable steps to ensure meaningful access to all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment to inmates who are limited English proficient?	yes
	Do these steps include providing interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary?	yes
115.16 (c)	Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English p	roficient
	Does the agency always refrain from relying on inmate interpreters, inmate readers, or other types of inmate assistance except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the inmate's safety, the performance of first-response duties under §115.64, or the investigation of the inmate's allegations?	yes

115.17 (a)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the two bullets immediately above?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the two bullets immediately above?	yes
115.17 (b)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone who may have contact with inmates?	yes
	Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to enlist the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates?	yes

115.17 (c)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Before hiring new employees who may have contact with inmates, does the agency perform a criminal background records check?	yes
	Before hiring new employees who may have contact with inmates, does the agency, consistent with Federal, State, and local law, make its best efforts to contact all prior institutional employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse?	yes
115.17 (d)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency perform a criminal background records check before enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates?	yes
115.17 (e)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency either conduct criminal background records checks at least every five years of current employees and contractors who may have contact with inmates or have in place a system for otherwise capturing such information for current employees?	yes
115.17 (f)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with inmates directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in written applications or interviews for hiring or promotions?	yes
	Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with inmates directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in any interviews or written self-evaluations conducted as part of reviews of current employees?	yes
	Does the agency impose upon employees a continuing affirmative duty to disclose any such misconduct?	yes
115.17 (g)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency consider material omissions regarding such misconduct, or the provision of materially false information, grounds for termination?	yes

115.17 (h)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency provide information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee upon receiving a request from an institutional employer for whom such employee has applied to work? (N/A if providing information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee is prohibited by law.)	yes
115.18 (a)	Upgrades to facilities and technologies	
	If the agency designed or acquired any new facility or planned any substantial expansion or modification of existing facilities, did the agency consider the effect of the design, acquisition, expansion, or modification upon the agency's ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not acquired a new facility or made a substantial expansion to existing facilities since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.)	yes
115.18 (b)	Upgrades to facilities and technologies	
	If the agency installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology, did the agency consider how such technology may enhance the agency's ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.)	yes
115.21 (a)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	If the agency is responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, does the agency follow a uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence for administrative proceedings and criminal prosecutions? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	yes

115.21 (b)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	Is this protocol developmentally appropriate for youth where applicable? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	yes
	Is this protocol, as appropriate, adapted from or otherwise based on the most recent edition of the U.S. Department of Justice's Office on Violence Against Women publication, "A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adults/Adolescents," or similarly comprehensive and authoritative protocols developed after 2011? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	yes
115.21 (c)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	Does the agency offer all victims of sexual abuse access to forensic medical examinations, whether on-site or at an outside facility, without financial cost, where evidentiarily or medically appropriate?	yes
	Are such examinations performed by Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners (SAFEs) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANEs) where possible?	yes
	If SAFEs or SANEs cannot be made available, is the examination performed by other qualified medical practitioners (they must have been specifically trained to conduct sexual assault forensic exams)?	yes
	Has the agency documented its efforts to provide SAFEs or SANEs?	yes
115.21 (d)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	Does the agency attempt to make available to the victim a victim advocate from a rape crisis center?	yes
	If a rape crisis center is not available to provide victim advocate services, does the agency make available to provide these services a qualified staff member from a community-based organization, or a qualified agency staff member? (N/A if the agency always makes a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to victims.)	na
	Has the agency documented its efforts to secure services from rape crisis centers?	yes

115.21 (e)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	As requested by the victim, does the victim advocate, qualified agency staff member, or qualified community-based organization staff member accompany and support the victim through the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews?	yes
	As requested by the victim, does this person provide emotional support, crisis intervention, information, and referrals?	yes
115.21 (f)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	If the agency itself is not responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, has the agency requested that the investigating agency follow the requirements of paragraphs (a) through (e) of this section? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting criminal AND administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	yes
115.21 (h)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	If the agency uses a qualified agency staff member or a qualified community-based staff member for the purposes of this section, has the individual been screened for appropriateness to serve in this role and received education concerning sexual assault and forensic examination issues in general? (N/A if agency always makes a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to victims.)	na
115.22 (a)	Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations	
	Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual harassment?	yes
115.22 (b)	Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations	
	Does the agency have a policy and practice in place to ensure that allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are referred for investigation to an agency with the legal authority to conduct criminal investigations, unless the allegation does not involve potentially criminal behavior?	yes
	Has the agency published such policy on its website or, if it does not have one, made the policy available through other means?	yes
	Does the agency document all such referrals?	yes

115.22 (c)	Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations	
	If a separate entity is responsible for conducting criminal investigations, does the policy describe the responsibilities of both the agency and the investigating entity? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for criminal investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
115.31 (a)	Employee training	
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on its zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to fulfill their responsibilities under agency sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting, and response policies and procedures?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on inmates' right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the right of inmates and employees to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in confinement?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the common reactions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment victims?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to avoid inappropriate relationships with inmates?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to communicate effectively and professionally with inmates, including lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming inmates?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities?	yes

115.31 (b)	Employee training		
	Is such training tailored to the gender of the inmates at the employee's facility?	yes	
	Have employees received additional training if reassigned from a facility that houses only male inmates to a facility that houses only female inmates, or vice versa?	yes	
115.31 (c)	Employee training		
	Have all current employees who may have contact with inmates received such training?	yes	
	Does the agency provide each employee with refresher training every two years to ensure that all employees know the agency's current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies and procedures?	yes	
	In years in which an employee does not receive refresher training, does the agency provide refresher information on current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies?	yes	
115.31 (d)	Employee training		
	Does the agency document, through employee signature or electronic verification, that employees understand the training they have received?	yes	
115.32 (a)	Volunteer and contractor training		
	Has the agency ensured that all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates have been trained on their responsibilities under the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response policies and procedures?	yes	
115.32 (b)	Volunteer and contractor training		
	Have all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates been notified of the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and informed how to report such incidents (the level and type of training provided to volunteers and contractors shall be based on the services they provide and level of contact they have with inmates)?	yes	
115.32 (c)	Volunteer and contractor training		
	Does the agency maintain documentation confirming that volunteers and contractors understand the training they have received?	yes	

115.33 (a)	Inmate education	
	During intake, do inmates receive information explaining the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	During intake, do inmates receive information explaining how to report incidents or suspicions of sexual abuse or sexual harassment?	yes
115.33 (b)	Inmate education	
	Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from retaliation for reporting such incidents?	yes
	Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Agency policies and procedures for responding to such incidents?	yes
115.33 (c)	Inmate education	
	Have all inmates received the comprehensive education referenced in 115.33(b)?	yes
	Do inmates receive education upon transfer to a different facility to the extent that the policies and procedures of the inmate's new facility differ from those of the previous facility?	yes
115.33 (d)	Inmate education	
	Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are limited English proficient?	yes
	Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are deaf?	yes
	Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are visually impaired?	yes
	Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are otherwise disabled?	yes
	Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who have limited reading skills?	yes

115.33 (e)	Inmate education		
	Does the agency maintain documentation of inmate participation in these education sessions?	yes	
115.33 (f)	Inmate education		
	In addition to providing such education, does the agency ensure that key information is continuously and readily available or visible to inmates through posters, inmate handbooks, or other written formats?	yes	
115.34 (a)	Specialized training: Investigations		
	In addition to the general training provided to all employees pursuant to §115.31, does the agency ensure that, to the extent the agency itself conducts sexual abuse investigations, its investigators receive training in conducting such investigations in confinement settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes	
115.34 (b)	Specialized training: Investigations		
	Does this specialized training include techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes	
	Does this specialized training include proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes	
	Does this specialized training include sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes	
	Does this specialized training include the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes	
115.34 (c)	Specialized training: Investigations		
	Does the agency maintain documentation that agency investigators have completed the required specialized training in conducting sexual abuse investigations? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes	

115.35 (a)	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care	
	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes
	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes
	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes
	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how and to whom to report allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes
115.35 (b)	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care	
	If medical staff employed by the agency conduct forensic examinations, do such medical staff receive appropriate training to conduct such examinations? (N/A if agency medical staff at the facility do not conduct forensic exams or the agency does not employ medical staff.)	na
115.35 (c)	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care	
	Does the agency maintain documentation that medical and mental health practitioners have received the training referenced in this standard either from the agency or elsewhere? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes

115.35 (d)	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care	
	Do medical and mental health care practitioners employed by the agency also receive training mandated for employees by §115.31? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners employed by the agency.)	yes
	Do medical and mental health care practitioners contracted by or volunteering for the agency also receive training mandated for contractors and volunteers by §115.32? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners contracted by or volunteering for the agency.)	yes
115.41 (a)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Are all inmates assessed during an intake screening for their risk of being sexually abused by other inmates or sexually abusive toward other inmates?	yes
	Are all inmates assessed upon transfer to another facility for their risk of being sexually abused by other inmates or sexually abusive toward other inmates?	yes
115.41 (b)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Do intake screenings ordinarily take place within 72 hours of arrival at the facility?	yes
115.41 (c)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Are all PREA screening assessments conducted using an objective screening instrument?	yes

115.41 (d)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (1) Whether the inmate has a mental, physical, or developmental disability?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (2) The age of the inmate?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (3) The physical build of the inmate?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (4) Whether the inmate has previously been incarcerated?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (5) Whether the inmate's criminal history is exclusively nonviolent?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (6) Whether the inmate has prior convictions for sex offenses against an adult or child?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (7) Whether the inmate is or is perceived to be gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming (the facility affirmatively asks the inmate about his/her sexual orientation and gender identity AND makes a subjective determination based on the screener's perception whether the inmate is gender non-conforming or otherwise may be perceived to be LGBTI)?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (8) Whether the inmate has previously experienced sexual victimization?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (9) The inmate's own perception of vulnerability?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (10) Whether the inmate is detained solely for civil immigration purposes?	yes

115.41 (e)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, as known to the agency: prior acts of sexual abuse?	yes
	In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, as known to the agency: prior convictions for violent offenses?	yes
	In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, as known to the agency: history of prior institutional violence or sexual abuse?	yes
115.41 (f)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Within a set time period not more than 30 days from the inmate's arrival at the facility, does the facility reassess the inmate's risk of victimization or abusiveness based upon any additional, relevant information received by the facility since the intake screening?	yes
115.41 (g)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to a referral?	yes
	Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to a request?	yes
	Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to an incident of sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to receipt of additional information that bears on the inmate's risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness?	yes
115.41 (h)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Is it the case that inmates are not ever disciplined for refusing to answer, or for not disclosing complete information in response to, questions asked pursuant to paragraphs (d)(1), (d)(7), (d)(8), or (d)(9) of this section?	yes
115.41 (i)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Has the agency implemented appropriate controls on the dissemination within the facility of responses to questions asked pursuant to this standard in order to ensure that sensitive information is not exploited to the inmate's detriment by staff or other inmates?	yes

115.42 (a)	Use of screening information	
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Housing Assignments?	yes
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Bed assignments?	yes
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Work Assignments?	yes
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Education Assignments?	yes
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Program Assignments?	yes
115.42 (b)	Use of screening information	
	Does the agency make individualized determinations about how to ensure the safety of each inmate?	yes
115.42 (c)	Use of screening information	
	When deciding whether to assign a transgender or intersex inmate to a facility for male or female inmates, does the agency consider, on a case-by-case basis, whether a placement would ensure the inmate's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems (NOTE: if an agency by policy or practice assigns inmates to a male or female facility on the basis of anatomy alone, that agency is not in compliance with this standard)?	yes
	When making housing or other program assignments for transgender or intersex inmates, does the agency consider, on a case-by-case basis, whether a placement would ensure the inmate's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems?	yes

115.42 (d)	Use of screening information	
	Are placement and programming assignments for each transgender or intersex inmate reassessed at least twice each year to review any threats to safety experienced by the inmate?	yes
115.42 (e)	Use of screening information	
	Are each transgender or intersex inmate's own views with respect to his or her own safety given serious consideration when making facility and housing placement decisions and programming assignments?	yes
115.42 (f)	Use of screening information	
	Are transgender and intersex inmates given the opportunity to shower separately from other inmates?	yes
115.42 (g)	Use of screening information	
	Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: lesbian, gay, and bisexual inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I inmates pursuant to a consent degree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.)	yes
	Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: transgender inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I inmates pursuant to a consent degree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.)	yes
	Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: intersex inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I inmates pursuant to a consent degree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.)	yes

115.43 (a)	Protective Custody	
	Does the facility always refrain from placing inmates at high risk for sexual victimization in involuntary segregated housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made, and a determination has been made that there is no available alternative means of separation from likely abusers?	yes
	If a facility cannot conduct such an assessment immediately, does the facility hold the inmate in involuntary segregated housing for less than 24 hours while completing the assessment?	yes
115.43 (b)	Protective Custody	
	Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Programs to the extent possible?	yes
	Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Privileges to the extent possible?	yes
	Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Education to the extent possible?	yes
	Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Work opportunities to the extent possible?	yes
	If the facility restricts any access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document the opportunities that have been limited? (N/A if the facility never restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities.)	na
	If the facility restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document the duration of the limitation? (N/A if the facility never restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities.)	na
	If the facility restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document the reasons for such limitations? (N/A if the facility never restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities.)	na

115.43 (c)	Protective Custody	
	Does the facility assign inmates at high risk of sexual victimization to involuntary segregated housing only until an alternative means of separation from likely abusers can be arranged?	yes
	Does such an assignment not ordinarily exceed a period of 30 days?	yes
115.43 (d)	Protective Custody	
	If an involuntary segregated housing assignment is made pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section, does the facility clearly document: The basis for the facility's concern for the inmate's safety?	yes
	If an involuntary segregated housing assignment is made pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section, does the facility clearly document: The reason why no alternative means of separation can be arranged?	yes
115.43 (e)	Protective Custody	
	In the case of each inmate who is placed in involuntary segregation because he/she is at high risk of sexual victimization, does the facility afford a review to determine whether there is a continuing need for separation from the general population EVERY 30 DAYS?	yes
115.51 (a)	Inmate reporting	
	Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Retaliation by other inmates or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents?	yes

115.51 (b)	Inmate reporting	
	Does the agency also provide at least one way for inmates to report sexual abuse or sexual harassment to a public or private entity or office that is not part of the agency?	yes
	Is that private entity or office able to receive and immediately forward inmate reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment to agency officials?	yes
	Does that private entity or office allow the inmate to remain anonymous upon request?	yes
	Are inmates detained solely for civil immigration purposes provided information on how to contact relevant consular officials and relevant officials at the Department of Homeland Security? (N/A if the facility never houses inmates detained solely for civil immigration purposes.)	yes
115.51 (c)	Inmate reporting	
	Does staff accept reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment made verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties?	yes
	Does staff promptly document any verbal reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
115.51 (d)	Inmate reporting	
	Does the agency provide a method for staff to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of inmates?	yes
115.52 (a)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Is the agency exempt from this standard? NOTE: The agency is exempt ONLY if it does not have administrative procedures to address inmate grievances regarding sexual abuse. This does not mean the agency is exempt simply because an inmate does not have to or is not ordinarily expected to submit a grievance to report sexual abuse. This means that as a matter of explicit policy, the agency does not have an administrative remedies process to address sexual abuse.	no

115.52 (b)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Does the agency permit inmates to submit a grievance regarding an allegation of sexual abuse without any type of time limits? (The agency may apply otherwise-applicable time limits to any portion of a grievance that does not allege an incident of sexual abuse.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the agency always refrain from requiring an inmate to use any informal grievance process, or to otherwise attempt to resolve with staff, an alleged incident of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
115.52 (c)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Does the agency ensure that: An inmate who alleges sexual abuse may submit a grievance without submitting it to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the agency ensure that: Such grievance is not referred to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
115.52 (d)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Does the agency issue a final agency decision on the merits of any portion of a grievance alleging sexual abuse within 90 days of the initial filing of the grievance? (Computation of the 90-day time period does not include time consumed by inmates in preparing any administrative appeal.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	If the agency claims the maximum allowable extension of time to respond of up to 70 days per 115.52(d)(3) when the normal time period for response is insufficient to make an appropriate decision, does the agency notify the inmate in writing of any such extension and provide a date by which a decision will be made? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	At any level of the administrative process, including the final level, if the inmate does not receive a response within the time allotted for reply, including any properly noticed extension, may an inmate consider the absence of a response to be a denial at that level? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes

115.52 (e)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Are third parties, including fellow inmates, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, permitted to assist inmates in filing requests for administrative remedies relating to allegations of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Are those third parties also permitted to file such requests on behalf of inmates? (If a third party files such a request on behalf of an inmate, the facility may require as a condition of processing the request that the alleged victim agree to have the request filed on his or her behalf, and may also require the alleged victim to personally pursue any subsequent steps in the administrative remedy process.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	If the inmate declines to have the request processed on his or her behalf, does the agency document the inmate's decision? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes

115.52 (f)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Has the agency established procedures for the filing of an emergency grievance alleging that an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	After receiving an emergency grievance alleging an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does the agency immediately forward the grievance (or any portion thereof that alleges the substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse) to a level of review at which immediate corrective action may be taken? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.).	yes
	After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency provide an initial response within 48 hours? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency issue a final agency decision within 5 calendar days? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the initial response and final agency decision document the agency's determination whether the inmate is in substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the initial response document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the agency's final decision document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
115.52 (g)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	If the agency disciplines an inmate for filing a grievance related to alleged sexual abuse, does it do so ONLY where the agency demonstrates that the inmate filed the grievance in bad faith? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes

115.53 (a)	Inmate access to outside confidential support services	
	Does the facility provide inmates with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse by giving inmates mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available, of local, State, or national victim advocacy or rape crisis organizations?	yes
	Does the facility provide persons detained solely for civil immigration purposes mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available of local, State, or national immigrant services agencies? (N/A if the facility never has persons detained solely for civil immigration purposes.)	yes
	Does the facility enable reasonable communication between inmates and these organizations and agencies, in as confidential a manner as possible?	yes
115.53 (b)	Inmate access to outside confidential support services	
	Does the facility inform inmates, prior to giving them access, of the extent to which such communications will be monitored and the extent to which reports of abuse will be forwarded to authorities in accordance with mandatory reporting laws?	yes
115.53 (c)	Inmate access to outside confidential support services	
	Does the agency maintain or attempt to enter into memoranda of understanding or other agreements with community service providers that are able to provide inmates with confidential emotional support services related to sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency maintain copies of agreements or documentation showing attempts to enter into such agreements?	yes
115.54 (a)	Third-party reporting	
	Has the agency established a method to receive third-party reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Has the agency distributed publicly information on how to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment on behalf of an inmate?	yes

115.61 (a)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility, whether or not it is part of the agency?	yes
	Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding retaliation against inmates or staff who reported an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment or retaliation?	yes
115.61 (b)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	Apart from reporting to designated supervisors or officials, does staff always refrain from revealing any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than to the extent necessary, as specified in agency policy, to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions?	yes
115.61 (c)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	Unless otherwise precluded by Federal, State, or local law, are medical and mental health practitioners required to report sexual abuse pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section?	yes
	Are medical and mental health practitioners required to inform inmates of the practitioner's duty to report, and the limitations of confidentiality, at the initiation of services?	yes
115.61 (d)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	If the alleged victim is under the age of 18 or considered a vulnerable adult under a State or local vulnerable persons statute, does the agency report the allegation to the designated State or local services agency under applicable mandatory reporting laws?	yes
115.61 (e)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	Does the facility report all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including third-party and anonymous reports, to the facility's designated investigators?	yes

115.62 (a)	Agency protection duties	
	When the agency learns that an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does it take immediate action to protect the inmate?	yes
115.63 (a)	Reporting to other confinement facilities	
	Upon receiving an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused while confined at another facility, does the head of the facility that received the allegation notify the head of the facility or appropriate office of the agency where the alleged abuse occurred?	yes
115.63 (b)	Reporting to other confinement facilities	
	Is such notification provided as soon as possible, but no later than 72 hours after receiving the allegation?	yes
115.63 (c)	Reporting to other confinement facilities	
	Does the agency document that it has provided such notification?	yes
115.63 (d)	Reporting to other confinement facilities	
	Does the facility head or agency office that receives such notification ensure that the allegation is investigated in accordance with these standards?	yes

115.64 (a)	Staff first responder duties	
	Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Separate the alleged victim and abuser?	yes
	Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Preserve and protect any crime scene until appropriate steps can be taken to collect any evidence?	yes
	Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence?	yes
	Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Ensure that the alleged abuser does not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence?	yes
115.64 (b)	Staff first responder duties	
	If the first staff responder is not a security staff member, is the responder required to request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, and then notify security staff?	yes
115.65 (a)	Coordinated response	
	Has the facility developed a written institutional plan to coordinate actions among staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and facility leadership taken in response to an incident of sexual abuse?	yes
115.66 (a)	Preservation of ability to protect inmates from contact with abu	sers
	Are both the agency and any other governmental entities responsible for collective bargaining on the agency's behalf prohibited from entering into or renewing any collective bargaining agreement or other agreement that limit the agency's ability to remove alleged staff sexual abusers from contact with any inmates pending the outcome of an investigation or of a determination of whether and to what extent discipline is warranted?	yes

115.67 (a)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	Has the agency established a policy to protect all inmates and staff who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations from retaliation by other inmates or staff?	yes
	Has the agency designated which staff members or departments are charged with monitoring retaliation?	yes
115.67 (b)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	Does the agency employ multiple protection measures, such as housing changes or transfers for inmate victims or abusers, removal of alleged staff or inmate abusers from contact with victims, and emotional support services for inmates or staff who fear retaliation for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment or for cooperating with investigations?	yes

115.67 (c)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of inmates or staff who reported the sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by inmates or staff?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of inmates who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by inmates or staff?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Act promptly to remedy any such retaliation?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor any inmate disciplinary reports?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor inmate housing changes?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor inmate program changes?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor negative performance reviews of staff?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor reassignments of staff?	yes
	Does the agency continue such monitoring beyond 90 days if the initial monitoring indicates a continuing need?	yes
115.67 (d)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	In the case of inmates, does such monitoring also include periodic status checks?	yes

115.67 (e)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	If any other individual who cooperates with an investigation expresses a fear of retaliation, does the agency take appropriate measures to protect that individual against retaliation?	yes
115.68 (a)	Post-allegation protective custody	
	Is any and all use of segregated housing to protect an inmate who is alleged to have suffered sexual abuse subject to the requirements of § 115.43?	yes
115.71 (a)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	When the agency conducts its own investigations into allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, does it do so promptly, thoroughly, and objectively? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
	Does the agency conduct such investigations for all allegations, including third party and anonymous reports? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
115.71 (b)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Where sexual abuse is alleged, does the agency use investigators who have received specialized training in sexual abuse investigations as required by 115.34?	yes
115.71 (c)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Do investigators gather and preserve direct and circumstantial evidence, including any available physical and DNA evidence and any available electronic monitoring data?	yes
	Do investigators interview alleged victims, suspected perpetrators, and witnesses?	yes
	Do investigators review prior reports and complaints of sexual abuse involving the suspected perpetrator?	yes
115.71 (d)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	When the quality of evidence appears to support criminal prosecution, does the agency conduct compelled interviews only after consulting with prosecutors as to whether compelled interviews may be an obstacle for subsequent criminal prosecution?	yes

115.71 (e)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Do agency investigators assess the credibility of an alleged victim, suspect, or witness on an individual basis and not on the basis of that individual's status as inmate or staff?	yes
	Does the agency investigate allegations of sexual abuse without requiring an inmate who alleges sexual abuse to submit to a polygraph examination or other truth-telling device as a condition for proceeding?	yes
115.71 (f)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Do administrative investigations include an effort to determine whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse?	yes
	Are administrative investigations documented in written reports that include a description of the physical evidence and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments, and investigative facts and findings?	yes
115.71 (g)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Are criminal investigations documented in a written report that contains a thorough description of the physical, testimonial, and documentary evidence and attaches copies of all documentary evidence where feasible?	yes
115.71 (h)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Are all substantiated allegations of conduct that appears to be criminal referred for prosecution?	yes
115.71 (i)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Does the agency retain all written reports referenced in 115.71(f) and (g) for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years?	yes
115.71 (j)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Does the agency ensure that the departure of an alleged abuser or victim from the employment or control of the agency does not provide a basis for terminating an investigation?	yes
115.71 (I)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	When an outside entity investigates sexual abuse, does the facility cooperate with outside investigators and endeavor to remain informed about the progress of the investigation? (N/A if an outside agency does not conduct administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes

115.72 (a)	Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations	
	Is it true that the agency does not impose a standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated?	yes
115.73 (a)	Reporting to inmates	
	Following an investigation into an inmate's allegation that he or she suffered sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency inform the inmate as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded?	yes
115.73 (b)	Reporting to inmates	
	If the agency did not conduct the investigation into an inmate's allegation of sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency request the relevant information from the investigative agency in order to inform the inmate? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting administrative and criminal investigations.)	yes
115.73 (c)	Reporting to inmates	
	Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the inmate has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer posted within the inmate's unit?	yes
	Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer employed at the facility?	yes
	Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse in the facility?	yes
	Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility?	yes

115.73 (d)	Reporting to inmates	
	Following an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility?	yes
	Following an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility?	yes
115.73 (e)	Reporting to inmates	
	Does the agency document all such notifications or attempted notifications?	yes
115.76 (a)	Disciplinary sanctions for staff	
	Are staff subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies?	yes
115.76 (b)	Disciplinary sanctions for staff	
	Is termination the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who have engaged in sexual abuse?	yes
115.76 (c)	Disciplinary sanctions for staff	
	Are disciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policies relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories?	yes
115.76 (d)	Disciplinary sanctions for staff	
	Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Law enforcement agencies(unless the activity was clearly not criminal)?	yes
	Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Relevant licensing bodies?	yes

115.77 (a)	Corrective action for contractors and volunteers	
	Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse prohibited from contact with inmates?	yes
	Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Law enforcement agencies (unless the activity was clearly not criminal)?	yes
	Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Relevant licensing bodies?	yes
115.77 (b)	Corrective action for contractors and volunteers	
	In the case of any other violation of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by a contractor or volunteer, does the facility take appropriate remedial measures, and consider whether to prohibit further contact with inmates?	yes
115.78 (a)	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates	
	Following an administrative finding that an inmate engaged in inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse, or following a criminal finding of guilt for inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse, are inmates subject to disciplinary sanctions pursuant to a formal disciplinary process?	yes
115.78 (b)	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates	
	Are sanctions commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, the inmate's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other inmates with similar histories?	yes
115.78 (c)	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates	
	When determining what types of sanction, if any, should be imposed, does the disciplinary process consider whether an inmate's mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to his or her behavior?	yes
115.78 (d)		
	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates	
	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates If the facility offers therapy, counseling, or other interventions designed to address and correct underlying reasons or motivations for the abuse, does the facility consider whether to require the offending inmate to participate in such interventions as a condition of access to programming and other benefits?	yes
115.78 (e)	If the facility offers therapy, counseling, or other interventions designed to address and correct underlying reasons or motivations for the abuse, does the facility consider whether to require the offending inmate to participate in such interventions as a condition of access to programming	yes

115.78 (f)	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates	
	For the purpose of disciplinary action does a report of sexual abuse made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred NOT constitute falsely reporting an incident or lying, even if an investigation does not establish evidence sufficient to substantiate the allegation?	yes
115.78 (g)	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates	
	If the agency prohibits all sexual activity between inmates, does the agency always refrain from considering non-coercive sexual activity between inmates to be sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not prohibit all sexual activity between inmates.)	yes
115.81 (a)	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse	
	If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a prison inmate has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? (N/A if the facility is not a prison).	na
115.81 (b)	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse	
	If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a prison inmate has previously perpetrated sexual abuse, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? (N/A if the facility is not a prison.)	na
115.81 (c)	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse	
	If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a jail inmate has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? (N/A if the facility is not a jail).	yes
115.81 (d)	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse	
	Is any information related to sexual victimization or abusiveness that occurred in an institutional setting strictly limited to medical and mental health practitioners and other staff as necessary to inform treatment plans and security management decisions, including housing, bed, work, education, and program assignments, or as otherwise required by Federal, State, or local law?	yes

115.81 (e)	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse		
	Do medical and mental health practitioners obtain informed consent from inmates before reporting information about prior sexual victimization that did not occur in an institutional setting, unless the inmate is under the age of 18?	yes	
115.82 (a)	Access to emergency medical and mental health services		
	Do inmate victims of sexual abuse receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services, the nature and scope of which are determined by medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment?	yes	
115.82 (b)	Access to emergency medical and mental health services		
	If no qualified medical or mental health practitioners are on duty at the time a report of recent sexual abuse is made, do security staff first responders take preliminary steps to protect the victim pursuant to § 115.62?	yes	
	Do security staff first responders immediately notify the appropriate medical and mental health practitioners?	yes	
115.82 (c)	Access to emergency medical and mental health services		
	Are inmate victims of sexual abuse offered timely information about and timely access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis, in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care, where medically appropriate?	yes	
115.82 (d)	Access to emergency medical and mental health services		
	Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident?	yes	
115.83 (a)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers		
	Does the facility offer medical and mental health evaluation and, as appropriate, treatment to all inmates who have been victimized by sexual abuse in any prison, jail, lockup, or juvenile facility?	yes	

115.83 (b)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Does the evaluation and treatment of such victims include, as appropriate, follow-up services, treatment plans, and, when necessary, referrals for continued care following their transfer to, or placement in, other facilities, or their release from custody?	yes
115.83 (c)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Does the facility provide such victims with medical and mental health services consistent with the community level of care?	yes
115.83 (d)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Are inmate victims of sexually abusive vaginal penetration while incarcerated offered pregnancy tests? (N/A if "all male" facility. Note: in "all male" facilities there may be inmates who identify as transgender men who may have female genitalia. Auditors should be sure to know whether such individuals may be in the population and whether this provision may apply in specific circumstances.)	yes
115.83 (e)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	If pregnancy results from the conduct described in paragraph § 115.83(d), do such victims receive timely and comprehensive information about and timely access to all lawful pregnancy-related medical services? (N/A if "all male" facility. Note: in "all male" facilities there may be inmates who identify as transgender men who may have female genitalia. Auditors should be sure to know whether such individuals may be in the population and whether this provision may apply in specific circumstances.)	yes
115.83 (f)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Are inmate victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated offered tests for sexually transmitted infections as medically appropriate?	yes
115.83 (g)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse viction abusers	ms and
	Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident?	yes

115.83 (h)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers		
	If the facility is a prison, does it attempt to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known inmate-on-inmate abusers within 60 days of learning of such abuse history and offer treatment when deemed appropriate by mental health practitioners? (NA if the facility is a jail.)	na	
115.86 (a)	Sexual abuse incident reviews		
	Does the facility conduct a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation, including where the allegation has not been substantiated, unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded?	yes	
115.86 (b)	Sexual abuse incident reviews		
	Does such review ordinarily occur within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation?	yes	
115.86 (c)	Sexual abuse incident reviews		
	Does the review team include upper-level management officials, with input from line supervisors, investigators, and medical or mental health practitioners?	yes	

115.86 (d)	Sexual abuse incident reviews	
	Does the review team: Consider whether the allegation or investigation indicates a need to change policy or practice to better prevent, detect, or respond to sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the review team: Consider whether the incident or allegation was motivated by race; ethnicity; gender identity; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex identification, status, or perceived status; gang affiliation; or other group dynamics at the facility?	yes
	Does the review team: Examine the area in the facility where the incident allegedly occurred to assess whether physical barriers in the area may enable abuse?	yes
	Does the review team: Assess the adequacy of staffing levels in that area during different shifts?	yes
	Does the review team: Assess whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supplement supervision by staff?	yes
	Does the review team: Prepare a report of its findings, including but not necessarily limited to determinations made pursuant to §§ 115.86(d)(1)-(d)(5), and any recommendations for improvement and submit such report to the facility head and PREA compliance manager?	yes
115.86 (e)	Sexual abuse incident reviews	
	Does the facility implement the recommendations for improvement, or document its reasons for not doing so?	yes
115.87 (a)	Data collection	
	Does the agency collect accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions?	yes
115.87 (b)	Data collection	
	Does the agency aggregate the incident-based sexual abuse data at least annually?	yes
115.87 (c)	Data collection	
	Does the incident-based data include, at a minimum, the data necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Department of Justice?	yes

115.87 (d)	Data collection	
	Does the agency maintain, review, and collect data as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews?	yes
115.87 (e)	Data collection	
	Does the agency also obtain incident-based and aggregated data from every private facility with which it contracts for the confinement of its inmates? (N/A if agency does not contract for the confinement of its inmates.)	na
115.87 (f)	Data collection	
	Does the agency, upon request, provide all such data from the previous calendar year to the Department of Justice no later than June 30? (N/A if DOJ has not requested agency data.)	yes
115.88 (a)	Data review for corrective action	
	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Identifying problem areas?	yes
	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Taking corrective action on an ongoing basis?	yes
	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Preparing an annual report of its findings and corrective actions for each facility, as well as the agency as a whole?	yes
115.88 (b)	Data review for corrective action	
	Does the agency's annual report include a comparison of the current year's data and corrective actions with those from prior years and provide an assessment of the agency's progress in addressing sexual abuse?	yes
115.88 (c)	Data review for corrective action	
	Is the agency's annual report approved by the agency head and made readily available to the public through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means?	yes

115.88 (d)	Data review for corrective action	
	Does the agency indicate the nature of the material redacted where it redacts specific material from the reports when publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a facility?	yes
115.89 (a)	Data storage, publication, and destruction	
	Does the agency ensure that data collected pursuant to § 115.87 are securely retained?	yes
115.89 (b)	Data storage, publication, and destruction	
	Does the agency make all aggregated sexual abuse data, from facilities under its direct control and private facilities with which it contracts, readily available to the public at least annually through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means?	yes
115.89 (c)	Data storage, publication, and destruction	
	Does the agency remove all personal identifiers before making aggregated sexual abuse data publicly available?	yes
115.89 (d)	Data storage, publication, and destruction	
	Does the agency maintain sexual abuse data collected pursuant to § 115.87 for at least 10 years after the date of the initial collection, unless Federal, State, or local law requires otherwise?	yes
115.401 (a)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	During the prior three-year audit period, did the agency ensure that each facility operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited at least once? (Note: The response here is purely informational. A "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.)	yes

115.401 (b)	Frequency and scope of audits		
	Is this the first year of the current audit cycle? (Note: a "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.)	yes	
	If this is the second year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least one-third of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited during the first year of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is not the second year of the current audit cycle.)	na	
	If this is the third year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least two-thirds of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, were audited during the first two years of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is not the third year of the current audit cycle.)	na	
115.401 (h)	Frequency and scope of audits		
	Did the auditor have access to, and the ability to observe, all areas of the audited facility?	yes	
115.401 (i)	Frequency and scope of audits		
	Was the auditor permitted to request and receive copies of any relevant documents (including electronically stored information)?	yes	
115.401 (m)	Frequency and scope of audits		
	Was the auditor permitted to conduct private interviews with inmates, residents, and detainees?	yes	
115.401 (n)	Frequency and scope of audits		
	Were inmates permitted to send confidential information or correspondence to the auditor in the same manner as if they were communicating with legal counsel?	yes	
115.403 (f)	Audit contents and findings		
	The agency has published on its agency website, if it has one, or has otherwise made publicly available, all Final Audit Reports. The review period is for prior audits completed during the past three years PRECEDING THIS AUDIT. The pendency of any agency appeal pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § 115.405 does not excuse noncompliance with this provision. (N/A if there have been no Final Audit Reports issued in the past three years, or, in the case of single facility agencies, there has never been a Final Audit Report issued.)	yes	